UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

JAMES SALAZAR and DAWN SALAZAR,)
Plaintiffs,)
v.) No. 4:14CV1974 RLW
LANDIS+GYR TECHNOLOGY, INC., formerly doing business as CELLNET TECHNOLOGY, INC., and HENKELS & McCOY, INC.,))))
Defendants.)

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Defendant Henkels & McCoy, Inc.'s ("Henkels")

Motion to Strike Defendant Landis+Gyr Technology, Inc.'s ("Landis+Gyr") Amended Cross

Claim against Co-Defendant Henkels & McCoy, Inc. (ECF No. 40). Henkels argues that

Landis+Gyr's Amended Cross Claim, filed on June 15, 2015, violates the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure because the amended claim is untimely, and Landis+Gyr did not request leave of court

to file or obtain prior consent from Henkels. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a). On January 28, 2015,

this Court issued a Case Management Order pursuant to an agreed-upon Joint Proposed

Scheduling Order and Discovery Plan. (ECF No. 15) The CMO provides that "[a]ll motions for
joinder of additional parties or amendment of pleadings shall be filed no later than June 15,

2015." (Case Management Order, ECF No. 19)

In response to Henkels' Motion to Strike, Landis+Gyr states that its Amended Cross Claim complies with the June 15, 2015 deadline set forth in the CMO. The Court agrees and finds that Landis+Gyr's Amended Cross Claim was timely filed in accordance with the CMO. Therefore, the Court will deny Henkels' Motion to Strike.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Henkels & McCoy, Inc.'s Motion to Strike Defendant Landis+Gyr Technology, Inc.'s Amended Cross Claim against Co-Defendant Henkels & McCoy, Inc. (ECF No. 40) is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Henkels & McCoy, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Landis+Gyr Technology, Inc.'s Cross Claim Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) (ECF No. 22) is **DENIED** as **MOOT**.

Dated this 24th day of June, 2015.

RONNIE L. WHITE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE